Synthesis and Property of Tellurium-containing Polymers Obtained by Simple Condensation Reaction of Tetrachlorotellurium and 1,3-Dimethoxybenzene

Hiroto Kudo,* Hideatsu Ishihara, and Masashi Tomita

Department of Material and Life Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University, 3-27-1 Rokkakubashi, Kanagawa-ku, Yokohama, Kanagawa 221-8686

(Received April 27, 2011; CL-110358; E-mail: kudouh06@kanagawa-u.ac.jp)

Polycondensation reaction of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene and TeCl₄ was performed at a feed ratio of 1,3-DMB/TeCl₄ = $1/10$ without catalyst in 1,4-dioxane at 60 °C for 48 h, affording poly(1,3-DMB-co-Te) with $M_n = 45100$ and $M_w/M_n = 1.89$ in 75% yield, with release of HCl. ¹HNMR, IR, and X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy indicated that poly(1,3-DMB-co-Te) had a partially branched skeleton. This polymer had good solubility, good film-forming ability, high thermal stability, and a high refractive index $(1.769$ at $0.6328 \,\text{\mu m}$).

Tellurium is one of the chalcogens, which form the 16th group in the periodic table; the other members are oxygen, sulfur, selenium, and polonium. Except for oxygen, the chalcogens have rather similar characteristics, i.e., they have similar Allred-Rochow electronegativity, ionization energy, and electron affinity. There are many reports of the synthesis of sulfur-¹ and selenium²-containing polymers, some of which have interesting properties, such as high refractive index and electrical conductivity. However, tellurium-containing polymers have received relatively little attention. Sugimoto and Yoshida synthesized a poly(thiocarbonyl telluride) by the reaction of dilithium telluride with thiophosgene, and examined its electrical conductivity.³ The synthesis of polytellurophenes⁴ was also reported, and their electrical conductivity was compared to those of polythiophene⁵ and polyselenophene.^{4g,6} However, the electrical conductivities of tellurium-containing polymers were not superior to those of sulfur- and selenium-containing polymers. On the other hand, Ueda reported that seleniumcontaining polymer showed a higher refractive-index value than sulfur-containing polymer.⁷ However, there is no report concerning the refractive index of tellurium-containing polymers. This prompted us to investigate the synthesis and refractiveindex characteristics of tellurium-containing polymers. We focused on a synthetic route to a tellurium-polymer containing an aromatic moiety in the main chain, anticipating that it might have high molecular refraction and greater stability.

Bergman 8 et al. reported the synthesis of diphenyl telluride, which has good thermal stability and a melting temperature of about 280 °C, by simple condensation reaction of tetrachlorotellurium ($TeCl₄$) and anisole in bulk, without any catalyst. Thus, the condensation reaction of benzene with a pendant electrondonating group and TeCl₄ can proceed smoothly without catalyst. Here, we report a novel condensation reaction of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene (1,3-DMB) as a A_2 type monomer and tetrachlorotellurium (TeCl₄) as a B_2 type monomer to afford poly(phenylene telluride), as shown in Scheme 1. Table 1 summarizes the reaction conditions and results.

Although condensation polymerization should theoretically be carried out at a monomer feed ratio of 1:1, we first

Scheme 1. Synthesis of tellurium-containing polymers by the reaction of 1,3-DMB and TeCl₄.

accidentally examined the reaction at a feed ratio of 1,3-DMB/ TeCl₄ = 1/10 in 1,4-dioxane as a solvent at 60 °C for 24 h.⁹ Fortunately, the polymer was obtained in 66% yield with $M_n = 38100$ and $M_w/M_n = 1.47$ as estimated by SEC, though multiple peaks were apparent (Entry 5 in Table 1). The structure of the obtained polymer was confirmed by ¹HNMR and IR spectroscopy. ¹HNMR showed two broad peaks at about 3.50 and 6.45 ppm, which are assignable to methoxy and aromatic moieties, respectively.¹⁰ IR spectra showed characteristic peaks at 1206 and 1503 cm^{-1} , which are assignable to stretching vibrations of $-OCH_3$ and $-CH=CH-$ in the aromatic moiety, respectively.¹¹ These results indicate that condensation proceeded with release of hydrogen chloride to give poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl4). The reaction was then examined at other feed ratios of 1,3-DMB/TeCl₄ = 1/1, 1/5, 1/20, and 20/1 at 60 °C for 24 h. In the case of $1,3-DMB/TeCl_4 = 1/1$, high-molecular-weight polymer was not formed, but an oligomer with $M_n = 1600 \ (M_w)$ $M_n = 1.02$) was obtained in 3% yield (Entry 3 in Table 1). When the reaction was performed with $1,3-DMB/TeCl_4 = 1/5$, the molecular weight of the obtained oligomer was increased to 2100 $(M_w/M_n = 1.61)$ (Entry 4 in Table 1). At 1,3-DMB/ TeCl₄ = 1/20, oligomer with $M_n = 4900$ ($M_w/M_n = 1.31$) was obtained in 35% yield (Entry 6 in Table 1). However, no polymer or oligomer was obtained in the case of excess 1,3-DMB (1,3-DMB/TeCl₄ = 20/1) (Entry 7 in Table 1). Thus, it turned out that $1,3-DMB/TeCl_4 = 1/10$ was the most appropriate feed ratio in this polycondensation reaction.

Next, we examined the effects of reaction temperature and reaction time on this polycondensation at the feed ratio of 1,3-DMB/TeCl₄ = $1/10$. The values of molecular weight and yield of $poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl₄)$ increased with increasing temperature up to at 60° C, and then decreased at 80 and 100 $^{\circ}$ C (Entries 1, 2, 5, 11, and 12 in Table 1). The reaction time was changed in the range between 24 and 96 h, and it was found that

^aConditions: TeCl₄ (5 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (5 mL) in an argon atmosphere. ^bEthyl ether-insoluble part. ^cEstimated by SEC based on polystyrene standards in DMF. ^dDetermined by ellipsometry. ${}^eT_1^{5\%} = 5\%$ -loss temperature determined by TGA.

Table 2. Ratio of tellurium, chlorine, and aromatic moiety in the products as determined by X-ray fluorescence analysis

Entry	Feed ratio $1,3-DMB/TeCl4$	Content ratio $Te:C1:C_8H_8O_2$
	1/10	1.0:0.7:2.5
	1/1	1.0:0.6:2.5
	Theory ^a	1.0:2.0:1.0

^aIn the case of poly(1,3-DMB-co-Te) has linear skeleton.

48 h was an appropriate time (Entries 5 and 8-10 in Table 1). Finally, the polycondensation reaction of 1,3-DMB and TeCl⁴ proceeded at the feed ratio of $1,3-DMB/TeCl_4 = 1/10$ in dioxane at 60° C for 48 h to give poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl₄) with high molecular weight, $M_n = 45100$ ($M_w/M_n = 1.89$), in 75% yield (Entry 8 in Table 1). These results might suggest that polycondensation reaction of $1,3$ -DMB and TeCl₄ proceeds through an unusual mechanism via an intermediate which might be constructed by 1,3-DMB, TeCl₄, and 1,4-dioxane.

Although all the synthesized $poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl₄)s$ showed the same ¹H NMR and IR spectra, we wondered whether the polymers and oligomers obtained at different feed ratios of 1,3-DMB and TeCl⁴ actually have similar structures. Therefore, the unit ratios of tellurium (Te), chlorine (Cl), and aromatic moiety $(C_8H_8O_2)$ of two poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl₄)s obtained at feed ratios of $1,3-DMB/TeCl_4 = 1/10$ and $1/1$ (Entries 3 and 5 in Table 1) were determined by X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy. These results are summarized in Table 2. If the linear polymer is synthesized in this polycondensation, the unit ratio of Te, Cl, and $C_8H_8O_2$ would be 1:2:1 (Entry 3 in Table 2). The X-ray fluorescence analysis showed that the ratios of Te: $Cl:C_8H_8O_2$ were almost the same, irrespective of the feed ratio, i.e., Te: $Cl:C_8H_8O_2 = 1:0.7:2.5$ and 1:0.6:2.5 (Entries 1 and 2 in Table 2). These results indicate that the synthesized $poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl₄)$ has a partially branched structure, as shown in Scheme 1, because the value of Cl was smaller and that of $C_8H_8O_2$ was larger than those expected for the linear structure.

Next, the solubility, film-forming ability, thermal stability, and refractive index of the polymer were examined. Poly(1,3- $DMB-co-TeCl₄$) was soluble in common organic solvents, such as dimethyl sulfoxide, dimethylformamide, tetrahydrofuran (THF), and chloroform, and showed good film-forming ability, affording a thin film with $0.1 \mu m$ thickness on a silicone wafer by spin-coating. The thermal decomposition temperature of poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl4) was determined by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and the 5%-loss temperature $(T_d^{5\%})$ values were in the range 147 and 237 °C (Table 1). These values are sufficient for application to optoelectronics using poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl₄). The refractive-index (n) values of the resulting films (ca. 0.1 - μ m thick) were measured by ellipsometry at $0.6328 \mu m$. These results are summarized in Table 1. The *n* values were in the range between 1.665 and 1.769 (Table 1). Although solubility, film-forming ability, and thermal stability were independent of molecular weights, the value of n increased with increasing molecular weight, presumably due to the increase of the density. Because the value of n in the polymer is consitent with those of molar refraction and density as shown in the Lorentz-Lorenz equation.¹² Furthermore, no transmittance of the resulting $poly(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl₄)$ fim was observed in the range between 250 and 600 nm deteremined by UV-vis spectroscopy. Although poly $(1,3-DMB-co-TeCl₄)$ was stable in water, its hydrolysis proceeded in acid or base aqueous solutions.

In summary, we have achieved the first synthesis of poly(phenylene telluride) by taking advantage of a novel condensation reaction of $1,3-DMB$ and TeCl₄. This reaction proceeded at a feed ratio of $1,3-DMB/TeCl₄ = 1/10$ without catalyst in 1,4-dioxane at 60° C for 48 h, affording poly(1,3-DMB-co-Te) with $M_n = 45100$ and $M_w/M_n = 1.89$ in 75% yield. The ¹HNMR, IR, and X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy indicated that poly(1,3-DMB-co-Te) has a branched skeleton in part. Poly(1,3-DMB-co-Te) showed good solubility, good filmforming ability, and high thermal stability. The refractive index value of its thin film $(0.1 \,\mu\text{m})$ was 1.769, as measured by ellipsometry at $0.6328 \mu m$. Poly(1,3-DMB-co-Te) seems to be a promising candidate for application in the field of optoelectronics in the future.

The authors would like to thank Mr. Shinji Watanabe (Shimadzu Co.) for the X-ray fluorescence analysis. The authors gratefully acknowledge Prof. Tadatomi Nishikubo (Kanagawa University) for his helpful discussions and suggestions in this paper.

References and Notes

- 1 For example: a) H. Kudo, H. Inoue, T. Inagaki, T. Nishikubo, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma802042y)lecules 2009, 42, 1051. b) A. Kameyama, Y. Murakami, T. Nishikubo, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma960554f)lecules 1996, 29, 6676. c) A. Hara, Y. Oishi, M. Kakikoto, Y. Imai, J. Pol[ym. Sc](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pola.1991.080291313)i., [Part A: Po](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pola.1991.080291313)lym. Chem. 1991, 29, 1933. d) E. Koyama, F. Sanda, T. Endo, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma9709819)lecules 1998, 31, 1495. e) E. Nicol, C. Bonnans-Plaisance, G. Levesque, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma9900434)lecules 1999, 32[, 4485.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma9900434) f) E. Nicol, C. Bonnans-Plaisance, P. Dony, G. Levesque, Macromol[. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1521-3935(20011001)202:14<2843::AID-MACP2843>3.0.CO%3B2-N) 2001, 202, 2843. g) Macromolecular Syntheses, ed. by J. A. Moore, Wiley, New York, 1977, Vol. 1, p. 345. h) K. Yamamoto, M. Jikei, Y. Murakami, H. Nishide, E. Tsuchida, [J. Chem. Soc., Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c39910000596) [Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c39910000596) 1991, 596. i) E. Tsuchida, K. Yamamoto, M. Jikei, H. Nishide, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma00206a004)lecules 1990, 23, 930. j) E. Tsuchida, K. Yamamoto, H. Nishide, S. Yoshida, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma00174a060)lecules 1987, 20[, 2030](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma00174a060).
- 2 For example: a) Y. M. Kim, E. Lim, I.-N. Kang, B.-J. Jung, J. Lee, B. W. Koo, L.-M. Do, H.-K. Shim, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma060567l)lecules 2006, 39[, 4081.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma060567l) b) K. Naka, T. Uemura, Y. Chujo, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma011939n)lecules 2002, 35, 3539. c) K. Miyatake, T. Ishikawa, E. Tsuchida, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma9902596)lecules 1999, 32, 4497. d) S. C. Ng, H. S. O. Chan, T. T. Ong, K. Kumura, Y. Mazaki, K. Kobayashi, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma970988q)lecules 1998, 31, 1221. e) E. Tsuchida, M. Jikei, K. Miyatake, K. Yamamoto, H. Nishide, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma00069a053)lecules 1993, 26, 4732.
- 3 T. Sugimoto, Y. Yamamoto, Z. Yoshida, [Chem. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.1990.2111) 1990, [2111](http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.1990.2111).
- 4 a) S. C. Ng, H. Ding, H. S. O. Chan, [Chem. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.1999.1325) 1999, 1325. b) R. Sugimoto, K. Yoshino, S. Inoue, K. Tsukagoshi, [Jpn. J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.24.L425) Appl[. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.24.L425) 1985, 24, L425. c) H. Saito, S. Ukai, S. Iwatsuki, T. Itoh, M. Kubo, [Macromo](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ma00128a053)lecules 1995, 28, 8363. d) S. Inoue, T. Jigami, H. Nozoe, Y. Aso, F. Ogura, T. Otsubo, [Heterocyc](http://dx.doi.org/10.3987/COM-99-S3)les 2000, 52, 159. e) M. Sakakibara, A. Ogawa, [Tetrahedron Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-4039(94)80036-7) 1994, 35, 8013. f) A. A. Jahnke, G. W.

Howe, D. S. Seferos, [Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201005664) 2010, 49, [10140.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201005664) g) A. Patra, Y. H. Wijsboom, G. Leitus, M. Bendikov, [Org. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol9000608) 2009, 11, 1487.

- 5 For example: a) J. Roncali, P. Blanchard, P. Frère, [J. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b415481a) Chem. 2005, 15[, 1589.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b415481a) b) L. Groenendaal, G. Zotti, P.-H. Aubert, S. M. Waybright, J. R. Reynold, [Adv. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.200300376) 2003, 15[, 855](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adma.200300376). c) L. Groenendaal, F. Jonas, D. Freitag, H. Pielartzik, J. R. Reynolds, [Adv. Mater.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1521-4095(200004)12:7<481::AID-ADMA481>3.0.CO%3B2-C) 2000, 12, 481.
- 6 For example: a) A. Patra, Y. H. Wijsboom, S. S. Zade, M. Li, Y. Sheynin, G. Leitus, M. Bendikov, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja8018675) 2008, 130[, 6734.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja8018675) b) T.-T. Ong, S.-C. Ng, H. S. O. Chan, Polymer 2003, 44[, 5597](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(03)00586-X). c) M. Heeney, W. Zhang, D. J. Crouch, M. L. Chabinyc, S. Gordeyev, R. Hamilton, S. J. Higgins, I. McCulloch, P. J. Skabara, D. Sparrowe, S. Tierney, [Chem. Commun.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b712398a) 2007, 5061.
- 7 N.-H. You, N. Fukuzaki, Y. Suzuki, Y. Nakamura, T. Higashihara, S. Ando, M. Ueda, J. Polym. Sci[., Part A:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pola.23497) Pol[ym. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/pola.23497) 2009, 47, 4428.
- 8 J. Bergman, [Tetrahedron](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4020(01)93674-9) 1972, 28, 3323.
- Typical procedure for the reaction of 1,3-DMB and TeCl₄. The reaction mixture of 1,3-DMB (0.069 g, 0.5 mmol) and TeCl₄ $(1.35 \text{ g}, 5 \text{ mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane (5.0 mL) was stirred under an argon atmosphere at 60° C for 24 h, and then the resulting mixture was poured into a large amount of n-hexane to precipitate a solid. This product was precipitated from THF into ethyl ether and the precipitate was dried in vacuo at room temperature for 24 h. The yield was 66% (0.11 g) (Entry 5 in Table 1).
- 10^{-1} HNMR spectra (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , TMS) of poly-(1,3-DMB-co-Te) ($M_n = 38100$, $M_w/M_n = 1.47$, Entry 5 in Table 1). δ (ppm): 3.5 (broad m, $-OCH_3$), 6.45 (broad s, aromatic H).
- 11 IR spectra of poly(1,3-DMB-co-Te) ($M_n = 38100$, $M_w/M_n =$ 1.47, Entry 5 in Table 1). IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): 2937 (ν C-H aromatic), 2836 (ν C-H aliphatic), 1503 (ν C=C aromatic), and 1206 (ν -OCH₃ ether).
- 12 G. H. Meeten, Optical Properties of Polymers, Elsevier Applied Science, London 1989. $n^2 = (M + 2\rho R)/(M - \rho R)$ [n = refractive index, ρ (g/cm³) = density, M (g) = molecular weight, and R (cm³/mol) = molar refraction].